BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 04866 7265

CRIMINAL LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume 1

Martha Shaffer
Hamish Stewart

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1999-2000

These materials are distributed solely for use by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

These materials were prepared with the assistace of Nikki Gershbain

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
AUG 25 1989
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

CRIMINAL LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume 1

Martha Shaffer
Hamish Stewart
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1999-2000

These materials are distributed solely for use by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

These materials were prepared with the assistace of Nikki Gershbain

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/criminallawcases01shaf_8

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION 1

1(a) Background and Context 1

A Note About These Materials 1
Crime in Canada: A Statistical Overview 2
A Criminological Perspective 10

1(b) Limits on the Power to Create Criminal Law 11

1(b)(i) The Common Law and the Criminal Code 11

1(b)(ii) The Division of Powers 12

1(b)(iii) Preservation of Aboriginal Rights 15

1(b)(iv) Charter of Rights: Guarantee and Limitation of Rights 16

R. v. Oakes 16
Note: Subsequent Cases on the Presumption of Innocence 28
Further Reading 29

1(b)(v) Charter of Rights: Principles of Fundamental Justice 30

Reference Re: Section 94(2) of the Motor Vehicle Act 30

1(c) Statutory Interpretation 44

1(c)(i) Principles of Statutory Interpretation 44

R. v. Goulis 44
* R. v. Paré 47
Problem 54

1(c)(ii) Charter of Rights: Vaguenss and Overbreadth 55

R. v. Nova Scotia Pharmaceutical Society 55
R. v. Heywood 63

2. THE EXTERNAL ELEMENT 72

2(a) The Act Requirement 72

Rex v. Larsonneur 72
R. v. King 74
Kilbride v. Lake 79

2(b) Liability for Omissions 83

R. v. Colucci 83
R. v. Instan 84
People v. Beardsley 86
Moore v. The Queen 90
Fagan v. Commissioner of Metropolitan Police 99
R. v. Miller 101

2(c) Simultaneity 109

Thabo Meli and others v. The Queen 109
R. v. Cooper 110

2(d) Causation 116

2(d)(i) Cause in fact 116
R. v. Winning 116

2(d)(ii) Remoteness 117
British Columbia Electric Railway Company Limited v. Loach 117
excerpt from E.H. Carr, What is History? 117
People v. Lewis 119
R. v. Jordan 121
R. v. Smith 123
R. v. Blaue 125
* R. v. Pagett 128

2(d)(iii) Standards for Causation 136
Smithers v. The Queen 136
Note: R. v. Cribbin 139
* R. v. Harbottle 141

2(e) Defining the *Actus Reus*: Sexual Assault 149
R. v. Chase (N.B.C.A.) 149
R. v. Chase (S.C.C.) 151
R. v. V.(K.B.) 154
Problem 156
Further Reading 156
* R. v. McCraw 157
Questions on McCraw 165

2(f) Defining the *Actus Reus*: Consent 167
R. v. Jobidon 167
* Problems based on Jobidon 188
R. v. Cuerrier 190
* R. v. Ewanchuk (Alta. C.A.) 216
* R. v. Ewanchuk (S.C.C.) 222

3. THE FAULT ELEMENT 235

3(a) Introduction 235
R. v. City of Sault Ste. Marie 235

3(b) Purpose, Intention, and Knowledge 240
R. v. Steane 240
R. v. Hibbert 244
Questions on Hibbert 258
Further reading 261

3(c) Wilful Blindness 262
Note on Wilful Blindness 262

Problem on Wilful Blindness 263

3(d) Criminal Negligence 265

R. v. Tutton and Tutton 265
R. v. Waite 277
Further Reading 281

3(e) Constitutionally Required Fault 282

Vaillancourt v. The Queen 282
* R. v. Martineau 293
Questions on Vaillancourt and Martineau 311
R. v. DeSousa 312
Questions on De Sousa 320
Note: R. v. Hundal 321
R. v. Creighton 325
Note: Companion Cases to Creighton 354
Problem 355
Further Reading 355

3(f) Fault in Regulatory Offences 356

R. v. City of Sault Ste. Marie 356
R. v. Wholesale Travel Group Inc. 360
Question 380
Further Reading 380